

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/767,885	01/24/2001	Kimio Inoue	202182US3 2548		
22850	7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, S	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	SORKIN, DAVID L			
	RIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1723		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 . <u>-</u>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/767,885	j	INOUE, KIMIO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		David L. So	rkin	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will b, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tirr expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 20	05.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowar			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		-					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)[
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	1	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/767,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US 5,947,593). Regarding claim 10, Inoue ('593) discloses a twin-screw extruder comprising a barrel (3) having two intercommunicating chambers (4); and a screw set (1) mounted in each of said chambers so as to not completely, mesh with one another (see Fig. 3 and applicant's admission in the remarks filed 8/13/04, on the page numbered "5" lines 7-8); each screw set comprising a rotor segment (a first segment 1b) comprising at least one kneading rotor having a plurality of kneading blades which provide a plurality of tip clearances (those of 7a,7b,7c) different from each other in the circumferential direction, said kneading rotor having a constant sectional shape (such as the cross-sectional shape of Fig. 4) in the axial direction, as viewed in a section transverse to the axial direction, and a screw segment (a second segment 1b) comprising at least one screw blade, said screw segment, except for crest portions, having the same sectional shape as said rotor segment comprising at least one kneading rotor, as viewed in a section transverse to the axial direction, except for crest portions (see col. 6, line 50-col. 7 line 6; Figs. 6-8). As seen in Fig. 2b, the barrel has an extrusion opening at or near an end thereof. However, the opening is a side

Application/Control Number: 09/767,885

Art Unit: 1723

discharge opening rather than being at a "tip end" of the barrel as claimed. However, in a separate embodiment of the prior art invention, the barrel has an opening at a tip end thereof (see Fig. 12). This express disclosure of an alternative barrel construction would have strongly suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art that the opening could be at the tip end. Regarding claim 11, the rotor segment provides tip clearances different from each other in the axial direction (see col. 3, lines 27-32; Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 12, as seen in, for example, Fig. 3 which shows C₃ symmetry (rotation of 120 degree yields equivalent position), said same sectional shape is symmetrical.

Regarding claim 13, "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" Ex parte Masham 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1723

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723